

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/532,353	07/05/2005	Mattias Nystrom	. 38148	9063	
116 PEARNE & GO	7590 07/19/2007 ORDON LLP	EXAMINER .			
1801 EAST 9TH STREET			ALIE, GHASSEM		
SUITE 1200 CLEVELAND.	OH 44114-3108	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			3724		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/532,353	NYSTROM ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Ghassem Alie	3724	

Donord the rining of an rippour Brior	Examiner	Art Unit	·			
· ·	Ghassem Alie	3724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appo	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 July 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Normal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	= •				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ktension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig or than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
AMENDMENTS						
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	onsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.		ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		mphant / monamont	(1 102 02 1).			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>7-12</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ls to provide a			
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the c	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.			
11. \square The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
13. Other:						
	, I	, , —				

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's amendment after final failed on 07/10/07 has not been considered. Applicant's extensive amendment to the independent claim 1 and addition of claims 13-19 raise new issues that require further search and consideration. It should be noted that claims 7-12 have wrong status identifier as "currently amended." However, claims 7-12 have been withdrawn in pervious Office Action and should have "withdrawn; currently amended" as status identifier.